Interview Summary

Application No. 10/030,750

Applicant(s)

..,

Michael Dorling

Examiner

Christopher Mahoney

Art Unit 2851



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Christopher Mahoney	(3)
(2) Kent E Baldauf	
Date of Interview Jan 3, 2003	
	_
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) X No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g) was not reached. h) X_i N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Mr. Baldauf called the examiner and indicated that neither	of the references (Morris and Peipel) used in the Dec. 20, 2002
	he present application. The foreign priority is based on an
	and indicated a new Office Action would be mailed which relied
on a different reference. No response to the December 2	O, 2002 Office Action is due from the applicant at this time.
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is
i) $X^{\!\scriptscriptstyle (\!\! \!\!\!)}$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE pord of Interview requirements on reverse side of a stached
	CHRISTOPHER MANONEY PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2851
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required